

couple of years could count that service in and get retirement benefit for that military service that was way long ago before they ever were a judge under the language now in the statutes. And it makes no sense, why the state should be paying retirement benefit for military service that had nothing to do with being a judge. Now what we do provide is that as a judge, and this is from long ago, a statute long ago, if you are a judge and you are going along, and there is a war breaks out, and you leave the judgeship and go into the military, and then come back in the judgeship, that military service continues your retirement benefits, and so that is what we want and have been doing but the language is unclear that maybe we are going to still allow this other situation where somebody can get credit for something that had nothing to do with being a judge. So I think it is okay. The judges have no problem with it and they think it is fine. Everybody should be happy with it.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Harris, would you like to close please on the advancement of the bill? The question is the advancement of the bill. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to advance the bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The bill is advanced. LB 230.

CLERK: Mr. President, 230 was a bill introduced by Senator DeCamp. (Read title.)

SENATOR DECAMP: Mr. President, we can just pass over this. We put this in another bill and I am going to wait and see if that other bill passes. If it wouldn't, then I would be more interested in this but, otherwise, just kind of keep this puppy in the wings.

SPEAKER NICHOL: It is passed over. LB 275.

CLERK: 275, Mr. President, is a bill introduced by Senator Pappas. (Read title.) The bill was read on January 17 last year, referred to the Miscellaneous Subjects Committee, advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Pappas, please.